## Municipal Population Projections
### Mountainland AOG - January 2013

**AARC** = Average Annual Rate Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Decennial Census</th>
<th>Short Range Projection</th>
<th>Long Range Projection</th>
<th>AARC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UTAH COUNTY</strong></td>
<td>368,536</td>
<td>519,837</td>
<td>668,564</td>
<td>833,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>7,146</td>
<td>9,617</td>
<td>10,670</td>
<td>11,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Fork</td>
<td>21,941</td>
<td>26,434</td>
<td>32,566</td>
<td>39,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Fort</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Hills</td>
<td>3,094</td>
<td>9,860</td>
<td>10,733</td>
<td>10,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draper</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1,754</td>
<td>2,520</td>
<td>3,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Mountain</td>
<td>2,157</td>
<td>21,555</td>
<td>34,152</td>
<td>54,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Ridge</td>
<td>1,838</td>
<td>2,452</td>
<td>3,898</td>
<td>4,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1,19</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genola</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>1,378</td>
<td>3,789</td>
<td>4,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goshen</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>1,146</td>
<td>1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highland</td>
<td>8,172</td>
<td>15,625</td>
<td>17,792</td>
<td>20,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehi</td>
<td>19,028</td>
<td>47,715</td>
<td>62,154</td>
<td>82,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindon</td>
<td>8,363</td>
<td>10,134</td>
<td>11,753</td>
<td>12,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapleton</td>
<td>5,809</td>
<td>8,031</td>
<td>10,762</td>
<td>13,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orem</td>
<td>84,324</td>
<td>88,892</td>
<td>99,227</td>
<td>103,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payson</td>
<td>12,716</td>
<td>18,412</td>
<td>22,832</td>
<td>41,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasant Grove</td>
<td>23,468</td>
<td>33,728</td>
<td>40,034</td>
<td>42,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provo</td>
<td>105,166</td>
<td>113,153</td>
<td>126,377</td>
<td>131,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>4,372</td>
<td>6,465</td>
<td>11,363</td>
<td>27,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santaquin</td>
<td>4,834</td>
<td>9,187</td>
<td>17,594</td>
<td>32,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga Springs</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>17,919</td>
<td>33,514</td>
<td>58,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Fork</td>
<td>20,246</td>
<td>34,939</td>
<td>44,623</td>
<td>54,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springville</td>
<td>20,424</td>
<td>29,658</td>
<td>37,094</td>
<td>45,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>2,065</td>
<td>8,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland Hills</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>1,943</td>
<td>3,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unincorporated</td>
<td>11,164</td>
<td>10,020</td>
<td>28,404</td>
<td>24,101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Municipal Population Projections

**Mountainland AOG - January 2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Decennial Census</th>
<th>Short Range Projection</th>
<th>Long Range Projection</th>
<th>AARC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000 2010</td>
<td>2020 2030 2040</td>
<td>2050 2060</td>
<td>40 Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUMMIT COUNTY</strong></td>
<td>29,736 36,324</td>
<td>45,491 56,890 71,433</td>
<td>88,334 107,671</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coalville</td>
<td>1,382 1,363</td>
<td>1,457 1,859 2,729</td>
<td>3,931 5,481</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis</td>
<td>698 1,077</td>
<td>1,624 2,415 3,546</td>
<td>5,925 8,260</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henefer</td>
<td>684 766</td>
<td>950 1,212 1,779</td>
<td>2,972 4,144</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamas</td>
<td>1,274 1,811</td>
<td>2,246 2,864 4,205</td>
<td>6,058 8,447</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakley</td>
<td>948 1,470</td>
<td>2,217 3,297 4,840</td>
<td>8,087 11,276</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park City (pt.)</td>
<td>7,371 7,547</td>
<td>9,358 11,444 13,744</td>
<td>15,521 17,722</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unincorporated</td>
<td>17,379 22,290</td>
<td>27,639 33,799 40,591</td>
<td>45,839 52,340</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WASATCH COUNTY</strong></td>
<td>15,215 23,530</td>
<td>32,741 44,549 59,159</td>
<td>76,389 96,696</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston</td>
<td>378 415</td>
<td>533 941 1,611</td>
<td>2,953 5,929</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td>X 938</td>
<td>1,058 1,600 2,626</td>
<td>4,152 6,430</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heber City</td>
<td>7,291 11,362</td>
<td>15,387 19,243 22,683</td>
<td>23,747 25,675</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hideout</td>
<td>X 656</td>
<td>1,253 1,818 2,983</td>
<td>4,474 6,083</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>X 164</td>
<td>174 306 611</td>
<td>1,168 2,307</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midway</td>
<td>2,121 3,845</td>
<td>6,039 8,759 11,759</td>
<td>14,571 18,481</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park City (pt.)</td>
<td>X 11</td>
<td>15 18 20</td>
<td>20 20</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallsburg</td>
<td>274 250</td>
<td>321 315 517</td>
<td>775 992</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unincorporated</td>
<td>5,151 5,889</td>
<td>7,960 11,549 16,349</td>
<td>24,528 31,116</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOUNTAINLAND REGION</strong></td>
<td>413,487 579,691</td>
<td>746,796 934,540 1,150,420</td>
<td>1,381,418 1,602,441</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### How Did We Develop With These Numbers?

1. Use the traffic model socio-economic data as a basis.
   - Uses last 30 years of densities along the Wasatch Front.
   - Census 2010 data is used as a base.
   - Building permit data builds off Census 2010 through 2011.

2. General Plans are used to project where growth can occur.
   - Various constraints are deducted from developable land; slope, wetlands, open space, forest, public facilities, etc.

3. State county control totals are used.

4. 2010 to 2030 growth occurs within city limits.

5. 2040 to 2060 growth occurs within annexation area.

6. Don't put too much credence into the long range 2040 - 2060 projections.
   - Changes in municipal policy will change long range data.
   - A new city forming in county area could change results.
   - One Major development can change everything.
   - Higher numbers in unincorporated areas will likely be absorbed into current or new municipalities.