MOUNTAINLAND MPO
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Monday, March 24, 2014

Mountainland Conference Room
586 East 800 North, Orem, Utah
1:30 p.m.

Attending:
Dennis Marker, Chair Santaquin
Adam Olsen American Fork
Andy Spencer American Fork
Chris Trusty Eagle Mountain
Steve Mumford Eagle Mountain
Cody Black Elk Ridge
Craig Neely Elk Ridge
Steven Lord Horrocks
Casey Brown J-U-B Engineers
Brad Kenison Lehi
Kim Struthers Lehi
Mike West Lehi
Hugh Van Wagenen Lindon
Mark Christensen Lindon
Bob Allen MAG
Chad Eccles MAG
Jim Price MAG
Nan Kuhn MAG
Shawn Eliot MAG
Shawn Seager MAG
Brian Tucker Mapleton
Paul Goodrich Orem
Sam Kelly Orem
Jill Spencer Payson
Travis Jockumsen Payson
Degen Lewis Pleasant Grove
Dave Decker Provo
Chairman Dennis Marker welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

Approved the meeting minutes for February 24, 2014
Ken Anson moved to approve the February 26, 2014, minutes. Richard Nielson seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

MPO TIP Selection Process - Project Idea Submittals - Shawn Eliot
Sponsors with 5 or less project ideas had 5 minutes to present each project and answer questions. Sponsors with over 6 projects had a total of 25 minutes to present all of their projects and answer questions. After the city presentations, each city was given one vote towards each project. UDOT was given two votes, one for the Region and one for TOC.

In the meeting each project was ranked by the committee members using electronic polling. The rank range was from 1 to 7, 7 being the best. If the last 3 categories (5, 6, 7) totaled 50% or greater, the project should go forward to the Concept Report stage. If lower than 50%, then the project sponsor should evaluate if it is worth the time and expense to complete a Concept Report. Concept Reports are due April 24, 2014. The application for the Concept Report is on our website, please save application, maps, reports, etc. in one PDF file.
There were 105 projects and 8 studies submitted totaling $152.3 million, there is $50 million available.

Staff has reviewed all project ideas submitted looking for the following criteria:
1. Improved efficiency of regional system
2. Supported in MPO transportation plan
3. Have a logical scope or phasing plan
4. Provide congestion relief
5. Diversity of travel modes
6. Demonstrate air quality benefits
7. Safety improvements

Project Ideas and scores: See page 4

*Andy Spencer moved to have the transportation studies recommended by the Technical Advisory Committee be forwarded to Regional Planning for their approval to be placed in the Unified Planning Work Program. No Concept Reports are required. Kim Struthers seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.*

*Other Business-
The next meeting is scheduled for April 21, 2014*

*Richard Nielson moved to adjourn the meeting at 4:35 p.m. Andy Spencer seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously*
### Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>TAC</th>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Request MPO</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>MPO Staff Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Springville - 5 Main ST to 400 E</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>widened to 5 lanes</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Provo 500 N Interchange - Corridor Study</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>widen</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>I-15/1600 S Springville New Interchange Study</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>new HOT interchange</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HWY 158, Payson Corridor Study</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Payson</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Meadows Connection Road Study</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Payson</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Projects and Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>TAC</th>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Request MPO</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>MPO Staff Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Springville - 5 Main ST to 400 E</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>widened to 5 lanes</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Provo 500 N Interchange - Corridor Study</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>widen</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>I-15/1600 S Springville New Interchange Study</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>new HOT interchange</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HWY 158, Payson Corridor Study</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Payson</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- Projects with a TAC Score above 50 should be funded, below 50 should be reevaluated.
- Studies with a TAC Score above 50 should be funded, below 50 should be reevaluated.
Projects and Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Cost (millions)</th>
<th>MPO Staff Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Utah County</td>
<td>New trail construction</td>
<td>4.800</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Utah County</td>
<td>New trail construction</td>
<td>4.350</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Utah County</td>
<td>New trail construction</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Utah County</td>
<td>Widen to 5 lanes</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Utah County</td>
<td>Widen to 5 lanes</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Utah County</td>
<td>New trail construction</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Utah County</td>
<td>Widen to 5 lanes</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects and Programs with a TAC Score above 50 should proceed to Concept Report stage, below 50 should reevaluate.

- New trail construction
- Intersection improvements
- Road connection
- Widen to 5 lanes
- New road
- New bridge
- Widen to 3 lanes