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Chairman Richard Nielson called the meeting to order at 1:30 pm

**Approved the March 28, 2016 Meeting Minutes** - Richard Nielson
Kimber Gabryszak moved to approve the March 28, 2016 minutes. Dennis Marker seconded the motion. Motion carried **unanimously.**

**TIP Selection Funding List Approval** - Shawn Eliot
This is TAC's final step of the 2016 TIP Selection process. MAG used the priority ranking list that MPO TAC/RPC has approved. The funding is assigned to the Top Ranked projects within the $41.8M available. Shawn E. asked "How do we apply the $41M funding to the projects?" There are two ways to fund the projects; Top Ranked or Smallest Cost First (refer to 2016 TIP Project Selection - Draft Funding List and Map for lists). It was less efficient to go with the Smallest Cost First. Everything below the red line are projects that if additional funding becomes available those projects will be considered at that time. There are 7 different funding sources to fit a project to and that is why it was important to get the **priority** list first (this is for the fiscal years 2019 and 2020. Federal fiscal year 2019 starts Oct 2018).

Dennis Marker moved that the MPO TAC recommend to the MPO RPC accept the proposed **Top Ranked** Funding List. Shane Sorensen seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

**Federal Funds Exchange** - Bob Allen

**Part A: MPO Federal Funds Exchange Program:** The time has come to exchange Federal Funds to state Funds for the Fiscal year 2017 which will start Oct 1, 2016. MAG has received approximately $9M in STP-Urban and STP-NonUrban Federal Funds annually. MAG would like to exchange its federal funds with UDOT for State Transportation Funds because State Funds do not carry the same requirements as Federal Funds.

The MPO and UDOT have negotiated(Refer to Memorandum of Agreement) we will receive $.85 state funds for every $1 federal funds given to UDOT. The MPO and UDOT is prepared to exchange the next two years (Fiscal year 2017 and 2018) with the option to continue every year as we move forward. MAG and UDOT will get back together Oct 2017 to decide if we will add 2019. UDOT seems favorable about how we can carry this out further & further. The exchange will happen annually in one lump sum at the beginning of the fiscal year or when funds are available. MAG will require 6.77% local match for each project. Projects will continue to be selected through our TIP Project Selection process. Benefits are there will be no Federal involvement. UDOT will help MAG Staff by being an advisory role.
As to exchanging additional funds such as CMAQ and TAP funds; CMAQ funds are highly restrictive, while state funds are less restrictive, the CMAQ issue will continue to discussed but did not want to hold up the process of exchanging the federal funds, so as for now we will stick with just exchanging STP Urban and Non-Urban funds. The end goal will be to convert all money over and that all money will look and act the same.

Mark Christensen moved that the MPO TAC recommend to the MPO RPC enter into the Memorandum of Agreement between MPO and UDOT to exchange federal funds for state funds. Brad Stapley seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

**Part B: Joint MPO/County Project Management Process:** Upon exchanging funds we also need a management Process. We have determined that if we are going to make all the funds the same we essentially would like to make the management process the same as well. MAG and UDOT have come up with the MPO/County Joint Management Process (refer to MPO/Utah County Joint Project Management Process handout for compete details). UDOT will play a minor advisory role while we learn and grow experience. Each project will be managed by County or MAG depending on which funds the project receives.

Process #2- Request to change language

David Graves moved that the MPO TAC recommend to the MPO RPC adopt the MPO/Utah County Joint Project Management Process with the modifications discussed. Mark Christensen seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

**UTA Core Routes and Service Planning** - Christopher Chesnut
The UTA has committed to developing what is called the Transit Bill of Rights. Transit Bill of Rights is an overall policy for the region and UTA's commitment to the public.

The Transit Bill of Rights has two subparts:
- **Service Standards**- Providing effective and efficient transit service. Quality service to those who ride.
- **Service Improvement Process**- Showing people in great detail how we are performing and how we are going to improve the system so we can bring the performance to the standard that is expected of the transit system.

Core Transit Service- UTA wants to increase the frequency and consistency so the public will look at these core routes similar to how they view rail routes. UTA is committing to these core routes and we are going to keep these in place. We want to match transit with the community needs. We want to provide a sense of permanence.

**Other Business**
A. UDOT -- no other business at this time.
B. UTA -- no, everything was covered in the UTA Core Routes and Service Planning presentation.

The next meeting is scheduled for August 1, 2016 1:30.

Kimber Gabryszak moved to adjourn the meeting at 3:20pm. Ken Anson seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

*Meeting minutes are digitally recorded. A hard copy of the attendees, a brief summary, and all motions made during a meeting will be approved at the next meeting. A CD of the entire meeting is available upon request.